1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 PATRICIA A. RAMSEY, Case No. 2:20-cv-02207-KJD-NJK 8 Plaintiff(s), Order 9 v. [Docket No. 16] 10 P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO, INC., 11 Defendant(s). 12 Pending before the Court is Defendant's motion for protective order. Docket No. 16. Defendant seeks relief with respect to a non-party subpoena, arguing that it is overbroad and should be limited to the facts gathered and documented in the claim file. See id. at 3-5. 15 The motion does not sufficiently address two threshold issues. First, Defendant is seeking a protective order from a subpoena served on a non-party, but the governing rule appears inapplicable on its face to such a request. See Fed. R. Civ. 26(c)(1) ("A party or any person from whom discovery is sought may move for a protective order" (emphasis added)). Second, and relatedly, the motion does not discuss how Defendant has standing to raise the subject arguments with respect to a subpoena to a non-party. Cf. Leal v. Target, 2015 WL 7294936, at \*1 (D. Nev. 21 June 25, 2015) (collecting cases). 22 Accordingly, the motion for protective order is **DENIED** without prejudice. Any renewed request for relief must include robust discussion on the issues identified above. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 Dated: April 14, 2021 26 Nancy J. Koppe 27 United States Magistrate Judge 28